

Greenbook Committee of Public Works Standards, Inc.
Editorial Standards Subcommittee
November 16, 2016
Minutes

Location: via GoToMeeting, <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/200349149>

I. Call to Order/Attendance: *The meeting was called to order at 11:07 a.m. Those in attendance were as follows:*

1. Erik Updyke*	L.A. County DPW	eupdyke@dpw.lacounty.gov
2. Jerry Borja*	City of San Diego	jborja@saniego.gov
3. Hudson McLintock*	City of San Diego	dmclintock@saniego.gov
4. Alaine James*	City of San Diego	aljames@saniego.gov
5. Dan Phelps*	Gill and Baldwin	dan@phelpssw.com
6. David Yanez*	M.W.D.	dyanez@mwdh2o.com

*via Go To Meeting

II. Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting: *Minutes of the October 19, 2016 meeting were approved as written.*

III. Old Business:

1. Style Guide-Update

- *Not discussed.*

2. Special Provisions Guide-Update

- *Not discussed.*

3. ESS Review Checklist

- *Not discussed.*

4. Change No. 248S

- *Not discussed.*

5. Change No. 281E (Part 4)

- *Erik will email a revised version without the changes to cold milling and the addition of micro-milling. Erik will submit a separate change for the latter.*

6. Part 1 Update/Restructuring

- *Dan reported that the General Provisions Subcommittee did not meet this month.*

IV. New Business:

1. Change No. 278U

- *Extensive discussion about the intent and interpretation of 207-20.3.2.*
- *Provision revised to clearly distinguish between pipe sizes of 60 inches or less, and greater than 60 inches as recommended by Dan Phelps.*
- *It was noted that the term “nominal” is not defined or described. There was a question among the attendees as to whether it was referring to inside or outside diameter.*
- *Erik will send the revised version to the sponsor and Curt Gilley, Chair of Underground, for confirmation that the intent and technical requirements have not been changed. [Curt called Erik in early afternoon and confirmed the revisions do not alter the technical intent and requirements.]*

2. Change No. 283U

- *Erik explained the change was to correct structure (bedding material for plastic pipe should not be under “trench backfill”) and to correct “2.14” to “2.1” in Table 217-2.2 along with other revisions.*
- *Erik stated the intent of the revision to suitability of native material for trench backfill was to emphasize the need to address in the special provisions based on the recommendations in a geotechnical report.*
- *The introductory paragraph for “Imported Trench Backfill” was extensively discussed. The resulting revision emphasized the requirement for imported trench backfill and its material properties to be specified in the Special Provisions.*

V. Other: *None.*

VI. Next Meeting: *January 18, 2017 at 11:00 a.m. via GoToMeeting.*

VII. Adjournment: *12:17 p.m.*